



## An innovative consortium based bacterial endophytic liquid formulation and its effect on the growth and yield of groundnut var. VRI-2

Arunachalam A, P Sivasakthivelan\*

Research Scholar, Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India

### Abstract

Groundnut the King of oilseeds is popularly called as wonder nut and poor men's cashew nut. India exports groundnut kernels, shell, handpicked selected (HPS) groundnut and its oil cake forms. Groundnut plays a pivotal role in the oilseed economy of India. Endophytes are wide range of microorganisms that live in host plants without causing diseases. Endophytic bacteria, helps the plants directly by plant growth promotion strategies by providing phytohormones to the plant, controlling ethylene levels in the plant by ACC deaminase synthesis and distributing key nutrients like N, P, iron, and other elements from the environment. They can also promote plant growth and development by activating a variety of novel mechanisms, such as IAA production, phosphate solubilizing activity, and siderophore activity. Besides enhanced plant growth, they also protect plant health through different methods. Anticipating these advantages this research was designed and conducted to isolate and characterize endophytic bacteria from different crop plants, that could help in enhancing its productivity and thereby food security. The chosen endophytic bacterial isolates were evaluated for the PGPR activity such as nitrogen fixation, indole acetic acid (IAA) production,  $GA_3$  production, mineral phosphate solubilization, and siderophore (iron sequestering chemicals) production. The pot culture experiment was carried out in the Department of Agricultural Microbiology Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu. A Completely Randomized Design with three replicates and nine treatments were compared and each pot was considered one experimental unit. The effect of different treatments on plant growth parameters like plant height, root length, shoot length, germination percentage, vigour index, number of pods plant, Test weight seed yield and dry matter production (DMP) in Groundnut was assessed. The maximum germination percentage (96.21), vigour index (1624), plant height (56.42cm), root length (10.21cm), and shoot length (8.51cm) was recorded in treatment  $T_2$  (100 % RDF) it was on par the treatment  $T_9$  (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megatherium* + 50 % RDF) by recording the germination percentage (94.85), vigour index (1585), plant height (54.68cm), root length (9.84cm), and shoot length (8.23cm) was recorded. The maximum DMP production, number of pods plant, Test weight and kernel yield in Groundnut was recorded in  $T_2$  (100 % RDF) were 54.21 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 38.29, 51.99 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and 227 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and this was on par with  $T_9$  (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megatherium* + 50 % RDF) like DMP production, number of pods plant, Test weight and seed yield is 52.65 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 37.42 (g), 50.41 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and 225 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>).

**Keywords:** endophytes, PGPR, liquid formulation, consortium and groundnut

### Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is an important edible oilseed crop. The seed contains upto 55 per cent oil and 25 per cent protein. Groundnut oil is mostly useful in cooking as well as the production of edible fats and soaps. After the oil is extracted, the cake is mostly used as animal and poultry feed. The seeds are eaten whole as raw, boiling, or roasted kernels, and processed into a variety of confectionary products. The haulm is a good source of nutrition for animals. It is a South American native that originated in Central Brazil and is now cultivated all over the world between the latitudes of 40 N and 40 S. Almost every tropical and subtropical country in the globe grows groundnut on a big scale. India, China, Nigeria, Sudan, and the United States are the top groundnut producers. It is grown in 27.94 million hectares worldwide; it contributes overall production upto 47.09 million tonnes of seeds and its productivity ranges upto 1686kg/ha to world oil seed production (FAO STAT,2020). In India groundnut is grown in 5.02 million hectares; it contributes overall production upto 6.69 million tonnes (Gujarat, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu)

and its yield ranges upto 1393kg/ha oil seed production. In Tamil Nadu grown in 0.34 million hectares; production upto 0.88 million tonnes; and its yield ranges upto 2620 kg/ha (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2019).

The realm of eco-friendly agriculture has been flourishing with potential alternatives of harmful agrochemicals in last few decades. In the rhizosphere, endosphere, phyllosphere, and distinct ecological niches, microbes with significant PGPR, biocontrol, and abiotic stress reduction capacities are being investigated (Abbamondi *et al.*, 2016) [1]. Endophytes among these have been shown to have potential application in agriculture. Bacterial endophytes can be found in a variety of plant components and have a positive impact on the host plant (Lodewyckx *et al.*, 2002) [24]. They provide a wide range of benefits to their host plants, including nitrogen fixation, mineral solubilization, siderophore, phytohormone, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase, secondary metabolites, enzymes, antibiotics, and the ability to induce systemic resistance and tolerance. etc. (Lodewyckx *et al.*, 2002; Sahu *et al.*, 2016) [24, 33]. Endophytes have been shown to improve the

performance of other beneficial bacteria such as *Rhizobium* (Bai *et al.*, 2003) [4]. Further, mineral nutrients in the rhizosphere have been reported to be solubilized by endophytic inoculants (Otieno *et al.*, 2015) [30]. This improves crop performance while also reducing the need for greater amounts of chemical fertilisers. They also influence the plant physiology and performance, and improve plant growth and development by regulating phytohormone levels in the plants (Khan *et al.*, 2013; Szilagy-Zecchin *et al.*, 2014) [23, 34].

Endophytic diazotrophic bacteria have been reported to be responsible for delivering biologically fixed N to their host plants, and their hunt for an environmentally acceptable and cost-effective alternative for N fertilisers is currently focusing on them. Endophytic bacteria, in theory, help plants develop either directly or indirectly. ACC deaminase synthesis reduces ethylene levels in plants directly, (Long *et al.*, 2008) [25], or by removing key nutrients from the environment, such as N, P, Fe, and others (Glick *et al.*, 1999) [10]. The indirect way involves the suppression of plant pathogens through the production of antagonistic secondary metabolites or by a combination of different mechanisms including niche competition (Gupta *et al.*, 2012; Bacon and Hinton 2006) [14, 3]. Endophytic bacteria have been tested in field and greenhouse environments for N fertiliser savings and improved plant growth and production (Yanni and Dazzo, 2010; Govindarajan *et al.*, 2008; Muthukumarasamy *et al.*, 2007) [36, 12, 28].

## Materials and Methods

### Isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria from different crop plants

Five endophytic bacterial strains were isolated from different parts of the crop plants *viz.*, leaf, stem, and root. Morphological and biochemical characterization was carried out for the tentative identification of the bacterial species. The efficiency of the different endophytic bacterial isolates was examined for its nitrogen fixation, IAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, phosphate solubilization, and siderophore production. Among the different isolates *Lysinibacillus* sp., performed best when compared to all the other isolates. The best strain was morphologically characterized by 16S rRNA sequencing and the sequenced gene was submitted to the NCBI gene bank and was allotted with the deposition number (OK 036788). All the above studies were carried out by Arunachalam and Sivasakthivelan (2021).

### Compatibility test between endophytic bacterial isolates

#### Dual culture technique

Compatibility among *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Rhizobium*, *Bacillus megatherium* was tested by following the dual culture technique (Dennis and Webster, 1971).

### Preparation of liquid formulation of endophytic bacterial consortium

For the preparation of liquid formulation, the method suggested by Manikandan *et al.* (2010) was followed. The most effective isolate *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Bacillus megatherium* and *Rhizobium* were identified in the present study. Among these, *Lysinibacillus* sp., and *Bacillus megatherium* were multiplied on Luria broth and *Rhizobium* on YEMA broth. The mother culture of *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Bacillus megatherium* and *Rhizobium* were inoculated individually into respective broth and incubated at room

temperature ( $28 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ). Further, the respective broths were added with glycerol at 2 per cent level. After the incubation period, the formulation was assessed for adequate CFU following serial dilution plating technique and the formulation thus prepared was sealed in plastic containers and used for further studies.

### Liquid based formulation for combination studies

For assessing the efficacy of combination of different endophytic bacteria in the liquid based formulation of *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Bacillus megatherium* and *Rhizobium* were prepared separately and equal amounts of individual preparations with the adequate number of colony forming units (CFU) were mixed well just before use.

### Seed treatment with different endophytic bacteria as single, dual and as consortium

Seeds of groundnut variety (VRI 2) were surface sterilized with two per cent sodium hypochlorite for 30 seconds, rinsed in sterile distilled water and dried overnight. Five ml of endophytic bacterial consortium based liquid formulated inoculum was taken in a Petri dish with 100 mg carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) was used as an adhesive substance. Seeds were they, soaked in endophytic bacteria as single, dual and as consortium suspension for 2 hour and air dried overnight in a sterile Petri dish and for bacterization the selected endophytic isolate *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Bacillus megatherium* and *Rhizobium* were used for the treatment. The treated seeds were dropped directly into the potted soil that had already been prepared. In each pot, four 5 cm digs were made, with five bacterized seeds distributed at random to each dig. For combination treatments T0 through T7, seed-treated pots were prepared separately.

### Pot culture experimental design

A Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with three replicates and nine treatments was fixed and a total of 27 pots were used, and each pot was considered as one experimental unit. The treatments details were described in Table 2. The pots were filled with a mixture of sandy loam and clay soil. The soil was tested for their physico-chemical properties in the department of soil science and Agricultural chemistry laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University. Soil sample of the pot mixture was analysed and the reports shows the soil was loamy in texture with a pH 6.98, electrical conductivity of  $0.120 \text{ dsM}^{-1}$ , organic carbon content of 9.12 %, available nitrogen  $114 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , available phosphorus  $3.26 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and available potassium  $79.41 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ .

### Germination percentage

Germination percentage was computed by recording total number of groundnut plants germinated against number of seeds sown in each plot on seventh day after sowing.

### Vigour index

The vigour index was calculated on 15 DAS using Abdul Balli and Anderson's recommended method (1973).

$$\text{Germination \%} \times \text{Shoot length} = \text{Vigour Index}$$

### Plant height, root, shoot length and dry weight

Plant height was recorded from the ground level to the tip of the plant on 25, 50, 75 DAS and at harvest and expressed in

cm. The plants were uprooted on 20 DAS and their root length, shoot length, and dry weight were measured.

**Dry matter production (DMP)**

Plants were removed at random from each treatment without damaging the roots and washed. The samples were sun dried initially for 24 hours and subsequently oven dried at 80° C to attain a constant weight. Then the DMP was recorded at harvest and expressed in g plant<sup>-1</sup> at harvest stage.

**Test weight**

One hundred filled seeds were randomly collected from the plants of each treatment plot and their weight was recorded in grams (g).

**Kernel yield**

The pods from each treatment were harvested, threshed, sun dried to attain 14 per cent moisture, weighed and the seed yield was expressed in g plant<sup>-1</sup>

**Statistical analysis**

The data on the various parameters studied during the course of investigation were analysed statistically as per the procedure suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

**Result**

The effect of different treatments on plant growth parameters and yield parameters like plant height, root length, shoot length, germination percentage, vigour index, dry matter production (DMP) number of pods plant, Test weight and kernel yield of Groundnut were assessed and presented in Table 2 and 3. The maximum germination percentage (96.21), vigour index (1624), plant height (56.42), root length (10.21), and shoot length (8.51) was recorded with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (100 % RDF) which was on par with the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megatherium* + 50 % RDF) with the germination percentage (94.85), vigour index (1585), plant height (54.68cm), root length (9.84cm), and shoot length (8.23cm)

was recorded. These treatments were followed by T<sub>6</sub> (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + 50 % RDF) with the germination percentage (91.31), vigour index (1532), plant height (51.59cm), root length (9.01cm), and shoot length (7.61cm). The minimum germination percentage (76.67), vigour index (1191), plant height (40.03cm), root length (5.37cm), and shoot length (5.13cm) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (control). Similarly the maximum DMP production, number of pods plant, Test weight and kernel yield in Groundnut was recorded with T<sub>2</sub> (100 % RDF) were 54.21 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 38.29, 51.99 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and 227 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), which was on par with the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megatherium* + 50 % RDF) by recording higher DMP production, number of pods plant, Test weight and kernel yield of 52.65 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 37.42 (g), 50.41 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and 225 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. These treatment were followed by T<sub>6</sub> (*Lysinibacillus* sp. + *Rhizobium* + 50 % RDF) DMP production, with the number of pods plant, Test weight and kernel yield of 50.29 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 35.11(g), 48.34 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), and 218 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. The minimum DMP production, number of pods plant, Test weight and seed yield in Groundnut was recorded in 31.42 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), 21.02 (g), 40.32 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), and 180 (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.

**Table 1:** Details of treatment schedule

Treatments	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	Control
T <sub>2</sub>	100 % RDF
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 75 % RDF
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + 75 % RDF
T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 75 % RDF
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + 50 % RDF
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + <i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 50 % RDF
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF

**Table 2:** Effect of endophytic bacterial isolates on the germination percentage, vigour index, plant height, root length and shoot length of groundnut

Tr. no.	Treatment	Germination percentage	Vigour index	Plant height (cm)	Root Length (cm)	Shoot Length (cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	76.67	1191	40.03	5.37	5.13
T <sub>2</sub>	100 % RDF	96.21	1634	56.42	10.21	8.51
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 75 % RDF	81.04	1403	42.42	7.90	5.78
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + 75 % RDF	83.93	1448	43.87	7.52	6.12
T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 75 % RDF	86.67	1489	45.12	7.12	6.27
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + 50 % RDF	91.31	1532	51.59	9.01	7.61
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF	91.02	1556	50.46	8.73	7.43
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + <i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 50 % RDF	90.83	1525	49.23	8.52	7.10
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF	94.85	1585	54.68	9.84	8.23
	SEd	1.60	23.42	1.01	0.22	0.19
	CD	3.28	48	2.08	0.45	0.39

Means with same alphabets are statistically on par by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level

**Table 3:** Effect of endophytic bacterial isolates on the number of pods plant, test weight, kernel yield and dmp production of groundnut

Tr. No.	Treatment	Plant DMP at harvest (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Kernel yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	31.42	21.02	40.32	180
T <sub>2</sub>	100 % RDF	54.21	38.29	51.99	227
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 75 % RDF	44.85	30.43	45.12	200
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + 75 % RDF	45.89	30.89	45.72	203

T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 75 % RDF	46.14	31.24	46.21	205
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + 50 % RDF	50.29	35.11	48.34	218
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF	49.92	35.02	47.29	216
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + <i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + 50 % RDF	48.16	34.23	46.21	213
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. + <i>Rhizobium</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> + 50 % RDF	52.65	37.42	50.41	225
	SED	0.96	0.64	0.79	2.31
	CD	1.93	1.28	1.62	4.73

## Discussion

Bacterial endophytes have been shown to have a beneficial effect as observed on a variety of crops, such as rice (Rho *et al.*, 2018), legumes (Bai *et al.*, 2003) [4], wheat (Herrera *et al.*, 2016) [16], cotton (Zhou, 2015) [38], sugarcane (Aguiar *et al.*, 2016) [2], maize (Gond *et al.*, 2015) [11], fruits (Kannan *et al.*, 2015) [21], vegetables (Xie *et al.*, 2016) [35], flowers (Engel *et al.*, 2016) [8], *etc.*

Studies reported on the use of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* ZA9 shown its potential for plant growth promotion and biotic stress management. The increased levels of IAA (697 g/ml), siderophore, hydrolytic enzymes, HCN synthesis and the ability to solubilize phosphorus, potassium, and silicon were observed.

Further the treatment of this bacteria resulted in increased shoot development in tomato and cucumber, also known to produce antifungal compounds such as 2-pentyl-4-quinolinecarboxylic acid and 1-methyl cyclohexene, (Naureen *et al.*, 2017) [29]. Several studies have found that *Lysinibacillus* sp. has the ability to promote plant growth through phosphate solubilization and phytohormone synthesis (Hardoim *et al.*, 2008) [15]. These reports could have favoured and contributed for the better growth and yield of groundnut crop, as brought out in the present study.

## Conclusion

The isolates of endophytic bacteria *viz.*, *Bacillus*, *Rhizobium*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Azospirillum*, and *Lysinibacillus* sp. from different crop plants were subjected to morphological and biochemical characterization and *invitro* plant growth promoting activity were assessed for its efficiency to produce IAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, siderophore production, and phosphate solubilization. From the studies, it could be concluded that among the different bacterial endophytes REB 2 (*Lysinibacillus* sp.) isolated from rice root was found to perform best in producing IAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, and phosphate solubilization with better siderophore production, and hence this it is made as consortium with other well-known bio inoculants *viz* *Rhizobium* and *Bacillus megatherium* in liquid formulation could be recommended as an effective plant growth promoting bio inoculant for groundnut as it improved the growth and yield attributes in Groundnut when compared to single, dual or conventional chemical fertilizers.

## References

1. Abbamondi GR, Tommonaro G, Weyens N, Thijs S, Sillen W, Gkorezis P, Iodice C *et al.* Plant growth-promoting effects of rhizospheric and endophytic bacteria associated with different tomato cultivars and new tomato hybrids. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*,2016;3(1):1.
2. Aguiar NO, Medici LO, Olivares FL, Dobbss LB, Torres-Netto A, Silva SF *et al.* Metabolic profile and antioxidant responses during drought stress recovery in sugarcane treated with humic acids and endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. *Annals of applied biology*,2016;168(2):203-213.
3. Bacon CW, Hinton DM. Bacterial endophytes: The endophytic niche, its occupants, and its utility. In: Gnanamanickam S.S. (eds) *Plant-Associated Bacteria*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4538-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4538-7_5)
4. Bai Y, Zhou X, Smith DL. Crop ecology, management and quality. Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *Crop Sci*,2003;43:1774-1781.
5. Bottini R, Cassán F, Piccoli P, Gibberellin. production by bacteria and its involvement in plant growth promotion and yield increase. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*,2004;65:497-503. doi: 10.1007/s00253-004-1696-1.
6. Dalal J, Kulkarni N. Population dynamics and diversity of endophytic bacteria associated with soybean (*glycine max (l) merril*). *Microbiology Research Journal International*, 2013, 96-105. doi:10.9734/BMRJ/2013/2302.
7. Doty SL. Nitrogen-Fixing Endophytic Bacteria for Improved Plant Growth. In: Maheshwari D. (eds) *Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Growth Responses*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20332-9\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20332-9_9)
8. Engel R, Szabó K, Abrankó L, Rendes K, Füzy A, Takács T. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the growth and polyphenol profile of marjoram, lemon balm, and marigold. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*,2016;64(19):3733-3742.
9. Etesami HHM, Hosseini HA, Alikhani, Mohammadi L. Bacterial biosynthesis of 1-Aminocyclopropane- 1-Carboxylate (ACC) Deaminase and Indole-3-Acetic Acid (IAA) as endophytic preferential selection traits by rice plant seedlings. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*,2014;33(3):654-70. Doi:10.1007/s00344-014-9415-3.
10. Glick BR, Patten CL, Holguin G, Penrose DM. Overview of plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Biochemical and Genetic Mechanisms Used by Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria*", Glick, BR, Patten, CL, Holguin, G. and Penrose, DM. World Scientific co-founded the London-based Imperial College. Press with London University's Imperial College, 1999, 1-13
11. Gond SK, Bergen MS, Torres MS, White Jr JF. Endophytic *Bacillus* spp. produce antifungal lipopeptides and induce host defence gene expression in maize. *Microbiol Res*,2015;172:79-87.
12. Govindarajan M, Balandreau J, Kwon SW, Weon HY, Lakshminarasimhan C. Effects of the inoculation of *Burkholderia vietnamensis* and related endophytic diazotrophic bacteria on grain yield of rice. *Microbial Ecology*,2008;55(1):21-37. Doi:10.1007/s00248-007-9247-9.

13. Gupta G, Panwar J, Akhtar MS, Jha PN. Endophytic nitrogen-fixing bacteria as biofertilizer. Dordrecht: Springer, 2012, 183-221. Doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5449-2\_8.
14. Gupta G, Panwar J, Akhtar MS, Jha PN. Endophytic nitrogen-fixing bacteria as biofertilizer. Dordrecht: Springer, 2012, 183-221. Doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5449-2\_8.
15. Hardoim PR, Van Overbeek LS, Van Elsas JD. Properties of bacterial endophytes and their proposed role in plant growth. Trends in Microbiology, 2008;16(10):463-471.
16. Herrera SD, Grossi C, Zawoznik M, Groppa MD. Wheat seeds harbour bacterial endophytes with potential as plant growth promoters and biocontrol agents of *Fusarium graminearum*. Microbiological research, 2016;186:37-43.
17. Iniguez AL, Dong Y, Triplett EW. Nitrogen fixation in wheat provided by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 342. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 2004;17(10):1078-85. Doi:10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.10.1078.
18. Jasim B, Joseph AA, John CJ, Mathew J, Radhakrishnan EK. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria from the rhizome of zingiber officinale. 3 Biotech, 2014;4(2):197-204. Doi: 10.1007/s13205-013-0143-3
19. Jayakumar A, Krishna A, Mohan M, Nair IC, Radhakrishnan EK. Plant growth enhancement, disease resistance, and elemental modulatory effects of plant probiotic endophytic bacillus sp. Fc11. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins, 2019;11(2):526-34. Doi:10.1007/s12602-018-9417-8.
20. Ji SH, Gururani MA, Chun SC. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic diazotrophic bacteria from Korean rice cultivars. Microbiological Research, 2014;169(1):83-98. Doi:10.1016/j.micres.2013.06.003.
21. Kannan R, Damodaran T, Umamaheswari S. Sodicity tolerant polyembryonic mango root stock plants: A putative role of endophytic bacteria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2015;14(4):350-359.
22. Karthikeyan B, Joe MM, Islam MR *et al.* Acc deaminase containing diazotrophic endophytic bacteria ameliorate salt stress in *Catharanthus roseus* through reduced ethylene levels and induction of antioxidative defense systems. Symbiosis, 2012;56:77-86. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-012-0162-6>.
23. Khan AL, Waqas M, Hamayun M *et al.* Co-synergism of endophyte *Penicillium resedanum* LK6 with salicylic acid helped *Capsicum annuum* in biomass recovery and osmotic stress mitigation. BMC Microbiol, 2013;3(1):51.
24. Lodewyckx C, Vangronsveld J, Porteous F, Moore ER, Taghavi S, Mezgeay M *et al.* Endophytic bacteria and their potential applications. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 2002;21(6):583-606.
25. Long HH, Schmidt DD, Baldwin IT, Ausubel FM. Native bacterial endophytes promote host growth in a species-specific manner; phytohormone manipulations do not result in common growth responses. PLoS One, 2008;3(7):e2702. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002702.
26. Mahmood A, Turgay OC, Farooq M, Hayat R. Seed biopriming with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A review. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2016;92(8):1-14. Doi:10.1093/femsec/fiw112.
27. Mohanta S, Sharma GD, Deb B. Diversity of endophytic diazotrophs in non-leguminous crops-A Review. Assam University Journal of Science and Technology, 2010;6(1):109-122.
28. Muthukumarasamy R, Kang UG, Park KD, Jeon WT, Park CY, Cho YS *et al.* Enumeration, isolation and identification of diazotrophs from Korean wetland rice varieties grown with long-term application of N and compost and their short-term inoculation effect on rice plants. Journal of applied microbiology, 2007;102(4):981-991.
29. Naureen Z, Rehman NU, Hussain H, Hussain J, Gilani SA, Al Housni SK *et al.* Exploring the potentials of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* ZA9 for plant growth promotion and biocontrol activities against phytopathogenic fungi. Frontiers in microbiology, 2017;8:1477.
30. Otieno N, Lally RD, Kiwanuka S, Lloyd A, Ryan D, Germaine KJ *et al.* Plant growth promotion induced by phosphate solubilizing endophytic *Pseudomonas* isolates. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2015;6:745.
31. Rajan A. Chapter 3 materials and methods. In thesis: Microbial endophytes of crop plants and their role in plant growth promotion, 2010.
32. Rho H, Van Epps V, Wegley N, Doty SL, Kim SH. Salicaceae Endophytes Modulate Stomatal Behavior and Increase Water Use Efficiency in Rice. Frontiers in plant science, 2018;9:188
33. Sahu PK, Lavanya G, Gupta A, Brahmaprakash GP. Fluid bed dried microbial consortium for enhanced plant growth: A step towards next generation bioformulation. Vegetos, 2016;29(4):6-10.
34. Szilagyi-Zecchin VJ, Ikeda AC, Hungria M *et al.* Identification and characterization of endophytic bacteria from corn (*Zea mays* L.) roots with biotechnological potential in agriculture. AMB Express, 2014;4:26
35. Xie L, Usui E, Narisawa K. A endophytic fungus, *Ramichloridium cerophilum*, promotes growth of a non-mycorrhizal plant, Chinese cabbage. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2016;15(25):1299-1305.
36. Yanni YG, Dazzo FB. Enhancement of rice production using endophytic strains of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* in extensive field inoculation trials within the Egypt Nile delta. Plant and Soil, 2010;336(1-2):129-42. Doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0454-7.
37. Zhao L, Xu Y, Sun R, Deng Z, Yang W, Wei G. Identification and characterization of the endophytic plant growth promoter *Bacillus cereus* strain mq23 isolated from *Sophora alopecuroides* root nodules. Brazilian Journal Of Microbiology, 2011;42(2):567-575. Doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822011000200022.
38. Zhou W. Fungal Endophytes and Their Ecological Roles in Cotton: Evaluation of Potential Antagonistic Activity against Plant Parasitic Nematodes and Insect Herbivores (Doctoral dissertation) Texas A&M University, 2015.
39. Kamuhu R, Mugendi BJ, Kimiywe J, Njagi E. Proximate analysis of raw and roasted groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.): Red Valencia and manikanta varieties.