



Fungitoxic evaluation of volatile oil and its active constituent against fungal pathogens of paddy plants

C O Samuel^{1*}, Sanjay Srivastava², Sandeep Chaudhary¹, Anamika Tripathi¹, K M Jagriti¹

¹ Department of Botany, Natural Fungicide laboratory, St. Andrew's P.G. College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

² Department of Botany, Harishchandra P. G. College, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background: Angiospermic plants have been recognized as the store house of several biologically active substances. They also store and emit volatile substances like essential oils which diffuse out in the vicinity. There are enough references to believe that essential oils, extracted from plants have enough antimicrobial potentialities and at the same time are largely non-toxic to humans as compared to synthetic antimicrobial substances. Besides being antimicrobial in nature, such substances are generally biodegradable, non-pollutive and can easily be procured.

Objective: The present project was undertaken to evaluate the fungitoxic efficacy of the volatile essential oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent 'Eugenol' against fungal pathogens of paddy plants viz., *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* in order to explore the possibility of their use as an alternative to synthetic fungicides. Various fungitoxic properties like nature of fungitoxicity, effect of temperature and storage on the antifungal efficacy of the volatile essential oil and its active constituent are also studied.

Materials and Methods: The volatile essential oil was extracted from sterilized dried arils of *Myristica fragrans* by hydro-distillation process in a Clevenger's apparatus. The fungitoxicity of the essential oil and its active constituent against test fungi was determined by Inverted Petri-Plate Technique.

Results: The volatile essential oil and its active constituent 'Eugenol' showed strong toxicity against test fungi. The toxicity of the oil did not change when subjected to high temperature conditions and variable periods of storage. Both volatile oil and its active constituent showed a wide range of antifungal spectrum.

Conclusion: The study, thus clearly reveals that the volatile essential oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent 'Eugenol' have strong fungitoxic activity and can be used to control fungal diseases of paddy plants.

Keywords: fungitoxicity, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*, *Myristica fragrans*

Introduction

Seed treating chemical fungicides especially organo-mercurials are very costly and cause serious problems. They reduce the quality of crops, produce toxic effect on non-target organisms cause environmental pollution and resistance in pests and disease agents [13]. Therefore, these have become a popular target of conservationists and are treated to be one of the most vital man-made pollutants. Considering all these dangerous effects, the control strategies are now directed towards replacing the use of hazardous chemical fungicides by environmentally friendly natural products [19].

The higher plants contain a wide variety of secondary metabolites having fungitoxic properties. Plant biochemicals especially volatile essential oils have also been reported to have antifungal properties against plant pathogens^{1, 11}. The volatile essential oils are the by-products of plant metabolism and are commonly referred to as volatile plant secondary metabolites [15]. The use of volatile oils is regarded as the best suited ecofriendly measure as these are easily biodegradable and safer. During recent years many volatile oils have been proved to possess fungitoxic efficacy [6, 7, 12, 22, 24].

In the present communication project was undertaken to evaluate the fungitoxic efficacy of the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent 'Eugenol'

against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* in order to explore the possibility of their use as an alternative to organo-mercurials. Our findings on the various fungitoxic properties like nature of fungitoxicity, effect of temperature and storage on the antifungal efficacy of the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent are reported.

Materials and Methods

Culture of test fungi and their growth conditions

The pure cultures of *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. (MTCC No. 4633), *Pyricularia oryzae* (MTCC No. 1477) and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* (MTCC No. 2114) were procured from Microbial Type Culture (MTCC), Chandigarh. This fungus was grown on PDA plate at 27°C ± 2°C and maintained with periodic sub-culturing at 4°C. All these fungi are pathogens of paddy plants.

Isolation of essential oil

The dried aril of *Myristica fragrans* (nutmeg) were procured from the local market. 300 hundred gram of seeds was surface sterilized, dipping in 2.5 percent sodium hypochlorite solution (NaOCl solution) for 5 minutes. These were then thoroughly washed with sterilized water and finally chopped into pieces before subjecting to hydro-distillation in Clevenger's apparatus for 8 to 10 hrs. The

water immiscible fractions of hydro-distillates were collected as volatile essential oils.

In vitro study of fungitoxicity of volatile oil and its active constituent against test fungi

The fungitoxicity of volatile oil and its active constituent against test fungi was determined by Inverted Plate Technique. 10 ml of molten PDA medium was poured in a pre-sterilized Petri plate. Chloramphenicol was added to the medium to prevent bacterial growth [11]. A fungal disc (5 mm in diameter) cut from the periphery of 7 days old culture of test fungus, with the help of flame sterilized cork borer and placed in the centre of the medium served as inoculum.

The different concentrations of volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent 'Eugenol' were prepared separately. For treatment sets, the filter paper discs (5 mm in diameter) were impregnated with the desired concentration of the volatile oil or active constituent 'Eugenol' and placed in the centre of the petriplate lid. The petriplate then kept inverted so that the fungal disc got exposed to the fumes of volatile oil. For control sets, the same volume of distilled water was used as assay discs. The plates were incubated for 7 days at $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Colony diameters in mutual perpendicular directions were measured on the seventh day in assay plates. Fungitoxicity was recorded in terms of the percent inhibition of mycelial growth and calculated using the following formula (Singh and Tripathi, 1999).

$$\text{Percent inhibition of mycelial growth} = \frac{dc - dt}{dc} \times 100$$

Where

dc-Average diameter of fungal colony in control sets.

dt-Average diameter of fungal colony in treatment sets.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of volatile oil and its active constituent

MIC is the concentration of volatile oil or its active constituent, required for absolute inhibition of mycelial growth of the test fungi. For this, the different concentrations of volatile oil ($0.8 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $0.9 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $1.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $1.5 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $2.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $2.5 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ and $3.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$) were prepared. In the similar way the different concentrations of active constituent 'Eugenol' ($0.6 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $0.7 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $0.8 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$, $0.9 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ and $1.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$) were prepared. The MIC against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* was determined by the Inverted Petriplate Technique as described above.

Effect of temperature on fungitoxicity

Five ml of volatile oil was taken in glass vials which is closed tightly and exposed to the different temperatures from 20°C to 55°C in electrical oven for an hour. The vials were then allowed to cool down to room temperature. Petriplates containing test fungus were exposed to the filter paper disc impregnated with heated volatile oil and incubated at $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. The fungitoxicity of volatile oil was assessed by Inverted plate technique as described earlier.

Effect of storage on fungitoxicity

The volatile oil was stored for different time periods. Petriplates containing test fungus was exposed to filter

paper disc impregnated with stored volatile oil. Petriplates were incubated at $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and recorded on seventh day of incubation (Table 3). The fungitoxicity of volatile oil was determined by Inverted plate technique as described earlier.

Antifungal spectrum of volatile oil and its active constituent

The range of Fungitoxic efficacy of the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. at $1 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ (v/v) concentration and its active constituent 'Eugenol' at $0.8 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ (v/v) concentration, was tested against twenty fungal pathogens viz., *Aspergillus flavus* Link., *Aspergillus fumigatus* Fres., *Aspergillus nidulans* Wintage, *Aspergillus niger* van Tiegh., *Aspergillus ochraceus* Wilhelm, *Cladosporium herbarum* (Pers.) Link., *Curvularia geniculata* (Tracy & Earle) Boedijn, *Curvularia lunata* (Wakker) Boedijn, *Drechslerasativa* Bugn., *Epicoccum nigrum* Link, *Fusarium acuminatum* Ellis & Everhart, *F. avenaceum* Schl., *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht., *Macrophomina phaseoli* (Maublanc) Ashby, *Nigrosporaoryzae* (Sacc.) Mason, *Penicillium chrysogenum* (Thom.), *Pythium aphanidermatum*, *Sclerotium oryzae* Catt., *Sclerotium rolfsi* Saccardo. Petriplates containing test fungus were exposed to the filter paper disc impregnated with desired concentration of volatile oil or its active constituent and incubated at $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. The fungitoxicity was assessed by Inverted plate technique as described earlier.

Result

The fungitoxic efficacy of volatile oils of ten plants was evaluated by Inverted petriplate technique. The results were recorded in Table - 1. The result revealed that out of ten plants, the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. showed 100% inhibition of mycelial growth, followed by *Ocimum basilicum* (82%) and *Pavonia odorata* Wild. (80%).

The volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. and its active constituent 'Eugenol' were subjected for Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). The results were recorded in table (2) and table (3). The volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. completely inhibited the mycelial growth of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* at $0.9 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ concentration whereas *Pyricularia oryzae* at $1.5 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ concentration (Table - 2). The volatile oil was fungistatic at $1.5 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ concentration whereas fungicidal at $2.5 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ concentration. The active constituent 'Eugenol' of *Myristica fragrans* oil showed MIC at $0.7 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ for *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* whereas at $0.8 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ concentration for *Pyricularia oryzae* (Table - 3). The study revealed that the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. retained its fungitoxicity when it was exposed up to 50°C temperature, however when they were exposed above than 50°C temperature there was significant loss in their fungitoxic potential (Table - 4). When the volatile oil was stored for different periods of time, it retained their fungitoxic potential up to 365 days (Table - 4). The range of Fungitoxic efficacy of the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. at $1 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ (v/v) concentration was tested against twenty fungal pathogens (Table- 5). Besides test fungi, the volatile oil inhibited completely the mycelial growth of eight other fungal pathogens viz., *A. nidulans*, *A. niger*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, *Fusarium acuminatum*, *F. oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseoli*, *Sclerotium oryzae* and *S. rolfsi* (Table- 5). The active constituent 'Eugenol' at $1 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$

(v/v) concentration, inhibited completely the mycelial growth of twelve fungal pathogens viz., *A. nidulans*, *A. niger*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, *Curvularia geniculata*, *C. lunata*, *Fusarium accuminatum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseoli*, *Pythium aphanidermatum* *Sclerotium oryzae* and *S. rolfsi* (Table- 6). Both volatile oil and active constituent also showed moderate to strong toxicity to other fungi tested.

Discussion

Higher plants have been recognized as reservoirs of various biologically active substances [4, 25]. The substances extracted from plants are largely non-toxic to humans and at the same time have enough antimicrobial potentialities [3]. Besides being antimicrobial in nature, such substances are generally biodegradable²¹ and non-pollutive [17] and can easily be procured from our largest renewable resources. There are enough references to believe that higher plants emit volatile substances like volatile essential oils which diffuse out in the vicinity and kill the pathogens, therefore these volatile oils have been used as fungicides for centuries to control plant diseases [20] in the recent years several workers reported volatile oils from various angiospermic plants have to possess fungitoxicity [8, 16, 26, 24, 28]. In the present study, the fungitoxicity of volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. of family Myristicaceae, is being reported here against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* the common fungal pathogens of paddy plants. According to Wellman [29] the efficacy of a good fungicide must not be affected by extremes of temperature and long storage duration. The fungitoxicity of *Myristica fragrans* volatile oil was not affected by temperature treatment up to 50 °C and storage up to 365 days indicating thereby that the oil possesses a possibility of being a good fungicide. The volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* in the present investigation possessed a broad range of fungitoxic spectrum which is a pre-requisite for successful employment of a fungitoxicant. Earlier workers have also reported broad range of fungitoxicity of different oils [9, 14, 24]. The fungitoxic efficacy of the volatile oils is due to presence of chemically active constituents [17, 27]. Tripathi *et. al.*, [17] isolated thymol and p-cymene as fungitoxic factors of *Trachyspermum* oil and recorded their fungitoxicity against *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. niger*. Singh *et. al.* [23] isolated dimethoxy agerato-chromine from the essential oil of *Ageratum* which possessed toxicity against

dermatophytes. Dubey *et. al.*, [10] reported Geraniol as active antifungal principle oil of *Zanthoxylum alatum*. Barkai-Golan, [2] isolated and identified citral, limetin, 5-geranosy-7-methoxy coumarin and isopimpeneyin antifungal compounds from lemon peel. Bouzenna & Krichen, [5] reported citronellol as the active antifungal principle of *Pelargonium graveolens* essential oil against *Rhizoctonia solani*. In the present study 'Eugenol' is reported as active antifungal constituent from the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*.

The present investigation, thus clearly reveals that the volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* and its active constituent 'Eugenol' may prove the ideal fungicides to control diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*. However *in vivo* investigations are pre-requisite before making any commitment regarding their practical utility as fungicides. The volatile oil appears to be non-toxic to human beings especially in view of the fact the parts exploited for isolating the oil is already in intimate human use.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to Rev. Prof. J. K. Lal, The Principal of St. Andrew's College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing infrastructure and all facilities.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Authors ' Contribution

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

Funding

None

Data Availability

All datasets generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics Statement

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Table 1: Fungitoxic screening of various volatile oils against test fungi

Essential oil tested	Part used	Yield (%)	Percent inhibition of mycelial growth								
			<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>			<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>			<i>Cochliobolus miyabeanus</i>		
			1×10 ³ µl/l	2×10 ³ µl/l	3×10 ³ µl/l	1×10 ³ µl/l	2×10 ³ µl/l	3×10 ³ µl/l	1×10 ³ µl/l	2×10 ³ µl/l	3×10 ³ µl/l
<i>Aegelmarmelos</i> (L.) Corr./ (Rutaceae)	L.	0.2	19	35	75	18	35	65	21	41	56
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> (L.)/ Rh.(Asteraceae)	Rh.	0.02	25	40	45	25	38	48	22	35	43
<i>Alpinia carinata</i> (L.)Rh.(Zingiberaceae)	Rh.	0.3	19	42	68	20	42	71	20	38	60
<i>Carum carvi</i> (L.) (Apiaceae)	Fr.	0.8	15	31	61	15	30	48	19	35	65
<i>Cedrusdeodara</i> *(Roxb.) Loud (Pinaceae)	W.	0.2	21	28	54	21	28	65	23	40	60
<i>Curcuma amada</i> (Roxb.) (Zingiberaceae)	Rh.	0.9	25	29	35	29	32	48	21	36	45
<i>Lantana indica</i> (Roxb.) (Verbenaceae)	L.	0.5	20	22	53	20	28	56	18	30	58
<i>Myristica fragrans</i> Houtt. (Myristicaceae)	S	100	100	100	100	95	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> (Labiataeae)	L.	0.4	28	68	82	28	63	85	26	65	82
<i>Pavonia odorata</i> Wild. (Malvaceae)	R.	0.2	23	68	80	28	66	88	25	56	80

L: Leaves R: Roots Rh: Rhizome S: Seeds Fr: Fruits W: Wood chips*: Procured from market

Table 2: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt.

Doses of oil used ($\mu\text{l/l}$)	Percent inhibition of mycelial growth		
	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>	<i>Cochliobolus miyabeanus</i>
3.0×10^3	100	100	100
2.5×10^3 **	100	100	100
2.0×10^3	100	100	100
1.5×10^3 *	100	100	100
1.0×10^3	100	95	100
0.9×10^3	100	86	100
0.8×10^3	90	78	93

** : Fungicidal, * : Fungistatic

Table 3: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of active constituent 'Eugenol'

Doses ($\mu\text{l/l}$)	Percent inhibition of mycelial growth		
	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>	<i>Cochliobolus miyabeanus</i>
1.0×10^3	100	100	100
0.9×10^3	100	100	100
0.8×10^3	100	100*	100
0.7×10^3	100*	92	100*
0.6×10^3	86	78	82

*: Fungistatic nature

Table 4: Effect of temperature & storage on fungitoxicity of volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt.

Parameter used		Percent inhibition of mycelial growth		
		<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>	<i>Cochliobolus miyabeanus</i>
Temperature treatment ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	20	100	100	100
	25	100	100	100
	30	100	100	100
	35	100	100	100
	40	100	100	100
	45	100	100	100
	50	100	100	100
Storage (in days)	55	00	00	00
	60	100	100	100
	120	100	100	100
	180	100	100	100
	240	100	100	100
	300	100	100	100
	365	100	100	100

Table 5: Antifungal spectrum of volatile oil of *Myristica fragrans* Houtt. at $1 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ dose (v/v)

	Fungal species	Percent inhibition of mycelial growth
1.	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link.	78
2.	<i>A. fumigatus</i> Fres.	82
3.	<i>A. nidulans</i> Wintage	100
4.	<i>A. niger</i> Van Tiegh	100
5.	<i>A. ochraceus</i> Wilhelm	45
6.	<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda	70
7.	<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> (Pers.) Link	100
8.	<i>Curvularia geniculata</i> (Tracy & Earle) Boedijn	95
9.	<i>C. lunata</i> (Wakker) Boedijn	90
10.	<i>Drechslera sativa</i> Bugn.	62
11.	<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i> Link	90
12.	<i>Fusarium accuminatum</i> Elis and Everhart	100
13.	<i>F. avenaceum</i> Schl.	92
14.	<i>F. oxysporum</i> Schlecht	100
15.	<i>Macrophomina phaseoli</i> (Maublanc) Ashby	100
16.	<i>Nigrospora oryzae</i> (Sacc.) Mason	68
17.	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> (Thom.)	55
18.	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>	95
19.	<i>Sclerotium oryzae</i> Catt.	100
20.	<i>S. rolfsi</i> Saccardo	100

Table 6: Antifungal spectrum of active constituent 'Eugenol' at $0.8 \times 10^3 \mu\text{l/l}$ (v/v)

	Fungal species	Percent inhibition of mycelial growth
1.	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link.	90
2.	<i>A. fumigatus</i> Fres.	95

3.	<i>A. nidulans</i> Wintage	100
4.	<i>A. niger</i> Van Tiegh	100
5.	<i>A. ochraceus</i> Wilhelm	52
6.	<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda	95
7.	<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> (Pers.) Link	100
8.	<i>Curvularia geniculata</i> (Tracay & Earle) Boedijn	100
9.	<i>C. lunata</i> (Wakker) Boedijn	100
10.	<i>Drechslera sativa</i> Bugn.	65
11.	<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i> Link	90
12.	<i>Fusarium accuminatum</i> Elis and Everhart	100
13.	<i>F. avenaceum</i> Schl.	100
14.	<i>F. oxysporum</i> Schlecht	100
15.	<i>Macrophomina phaseoli</i> (Maublanc) Ashby	100
16.	<i>Nigrospora oryzae</i> (Sacc.) Mason	82
17.	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> (Thom.)	72
18.	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>	100
19.	<i>Sclerotium oryzae</i> Catt.	100
20.	<i>S. rolfsi</i> Saccardo	100

References

- Al-Askar AA, Rashad YM. Efficacy of some plant extracts against *Rhizoctoniasolani* on pea. *J Plant Protect Res*,2010;50:239-243.
- Barkai-Golan R. Postharvest Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables: Development and Control. *Elsevier*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001, 418.
- Beye F. Insecticides from the Vegetable Kingdom. *Plant Res. And Devel*,1978;7:13-31.
- Bhakuni DS, Dhar MM, Dhawan BN, Gupta B, Srimal RC. Screening of Indian Plants for Biological Activity, Part III. *Indian J. Exp. Biol*,1971;9:91.
- Bouzenna H, Krichen L. *Pelargonium graveolens* L.'Her. and *Artemisia arborescens* L. essential oils: chemical composition, antifungal activity against *Rhizoctonia solani* and insecticidal activity against *Rhyzopertha dominica*. *Nat Prod Res*,2013;27(9):841-846.
- Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods, a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,2004;94:223-253.
- Cavar S, Maksimovic M, Solic ME, Jerkovic-Mujkic A, Besta R. Chemical composition and antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of two Satureja essential oils. *Food*,2008;111:648-653.
- Dixit A, Dixit SN. *Cedrus* oil: A promising antifungal agent. *Indian Perfumer*,1982;26:216-217.
- Dubey NK, Kishore N, Tripathi NN, Tripathi RD, Dixit SN. Fungitoxicity of the essential oil of *Citrus meduca* against storage fungi. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*,1982;100(3):58-59.
- Dubey S, Kumar A, Tripathi SC. Antifungal and insect repellent activity of essential oil of *Zanthoxylum alatum*. *Annals of Botany*,1990;65:457-459.
- Francisco DH, Lippia Caryaillinoensis G. Organic extracts and there *in vitro* effect against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn.*Am. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*,2010;5(3):380-384.
- Fawzi EM, Khalil AA, Afifi AF. Antifungal effect of some plant extracts on *Alternariaalternata* and *Fusariumoxysporum*. *African Journal of Biotechnology*,2009;8(11):2590-2597.
- Gershenzon J, Dudareva N. The function of terpene natural products in the natural world. *Natural Chemistry and Biology*,2007;3:408-414.
- Kagale ST, Marimuthu B, Thayumanavan R, Nandakumar, Samiyappan R. Antimicrobial activity and induction of systemic resistance in rice by leaf extract of *Daturametel* against *Rhizoctoniasolani* and *Xanthomonasoryzae* pv. *oryzae*. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol*,2004;65:91-100.
- Kihore N, Srivastava OP, Dubey NK, Dixit SN. Evaluation of essential oil from the inflorescence of *Chenopodium ambrosioides* L against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Indian Perfumer*,1982;26(2):228-230.
- Koul O, Walia S, Dhaliwal GS. Essential oils as green pesticides: Potential and constraints. *Biopestic Int*,2008;4:63-84.
- Lahlou M. Methods to study the phytochemistry and bioactivity of essential oils. *Phytother Res*,2004;18:435-448.
- Mahadevan A. Biochemical aspects of plant disease resistance Part- I Performed inhibitory substances, Prohibitins. *Today and tomorrow Printers and Publishers*. New Delhi, 1982.
- Mishra AK, Diwedi SK, Kishore N. Antifungal activity of some essential oil. *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters*,1989;12(10):335-336.
- Mamdouh AM, Eweis M. Isolation and identification of antifungal acridone alkaloid from *Ruta chalepensis* L. leaves. *J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci*,2007;2:263-278.
- Rios JL, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol*.2005;100:80-84.
- Saxena AR, Sahni RK, Yadav HL, Upadhyay SK, Saxena M. Antifungal activity of some higher plants against *Fusariumoxysporum* f.sp. *pisi*. *J liv World*,2005;12:32-39.
- Shukla HS, Tripathi SC. Studies on physico- chemical, phytotoxic and fungitoxic properties of essential oils of *Foeniculum vulgare* Hill. *Beitr. Biol. Pflanzen*,1987;62:149-158.
- Singh SP, Shukla HS, Singh RS, Tripathi SC. Antifungal properties of essential oil of *Ageratum conyzoides* L. *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letter*. 1986; 9(4): 97- 99.
- Singh J, Tripathi NN. Inhibition of storage fungi of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) by some essential oils. *Flavour and Fragrance J*, 1999, 14: 1- 4.
- Swaminathan MS, Inaugural address, Fruit, Bot. conference, Meerut, India, 1978, 1-31.
- Tripathi NN, Dubey NK, Dixit A, Tripathi RD, Dixit SN. Fungitoxic properties of *Alpinia galanga* oil. *Prop. Sci. Rev*,1983;1(1):49-52.

28. Tripathi SC, Singh SP, Dubey S. Studies on antifungal properties of *Trachyspermum ammi* (L.) Spreng. *Phytopath Z*,1986;116:113-120.
29. Valero M, Salmeron MC. Antibacterial activity of 11 essential oils against *Bacillus cereus* in tyndallized carrot broth. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,2003;85:73-81.
30. Wellman RH. Problem in development, registration and use of fungicides *Ann. Rev. Phytopath*,1977;15:155- 63.